UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,206	06/02/2006	Arjang Agahi	KEL01 P-151	3422
28101 7590 04/01/2009 VAN DYKE, GARDNER, LINN & BURKHART, LLP SUITE 207 2851 CHARLEVOIX DRIVE, S.E.			EXAMINER	
			SUTTON, ANDREW W	
GRAND RAPI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3765	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/596,206	AGAHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ANDREW W. SUTTON	3765			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Jul</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 02 June 2006 is/are: a)	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r.	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the orection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/2/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a **separate sheet** within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The examiner also objects to the specification as the first page is a PCT publication and should not be part of the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 are 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Green (US 5,868,290). Green teaches an apparatus for dispensing a glove with a body portion and a opening allowing access to the interior of the body portion, the apparatus comprising a chamber having a mouth 16 shaped to receive the opening of a glove providing fluid tight seal at the mouth for the body portion with an pump 50 for

causing a pressure differential between the interior surface and the exterior surface of the glove.

As to claim 2, the chamber 12 has an opening that allows the air pass through conduit 52.

As to claim 4, the pump 50 would expel the gas from the chamber 12.

As to claim 5, the chamber has connected to the valve 54 that permits gas to be expelled from the chamber and not from being drawn back in.

As to claim 6, the valve 54 would be capable of allowing gas to reenter the chamber when the pump 50 is turned of to allow for the release of the glove.

As to claim 10, Green teaches the use of a lid (see col. 3 lines 1-3) to place over the top. When the top would be placed on the opening, the removal of the top would cause a partial vacuum in the chamber.

As to claim 11, the collar 46 is provided around the mouth arranged to receive the opening of one item.

As to claim 12, the collar 46 projects perpendicularly to the plane the mouth lies.

As to claim 13, Fig. 13 illustrates the glove fitting over the chamber mouth 20.

As to claim 14, the glove is made of an elastic material.

As to claims 15 and 16, Green teaches an apparatus for dispensing a glove with a body portion and a opening allowing access to the interior of the body portion, the apparatus comprising a chamber having a mouth 16 shaped to receive the opening of a glove providing fluid tight seal at the mouth for the body portion with a pump 50 for

Art Unit: 3765

causing a pressure differential (by withdrawing gas) between the interior surface and the exterior surface of the glove.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-9 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Green (US 5,868,290) in view of Kassman (US 4,961,734). Green teaches the device substantially above. Green does not teach the chamber being reversibly compressible. Kassman teaches a device for donning of a flexible item that has a chamber portion that is reversibly compressible via the multiple flutes 12 that can be folded like a concertina. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the chamber of Green with that of Kassman to provide a more adjustability in donning the item.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See form PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANDREW W. SUTTON whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/596,206 Page 5

Art Unit: 3765

(571)272-6093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 8:00-

6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gary L. Welch can be reached on (571) 272-4996. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AWS

29 March 2009

/Shaun R Hurley/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3765